Rodricks - Cross/Rasmussen

256

question? 1

2

4

71

111

14

16

- Yes. You would agree that a risk assessor should report the range of uncertainty when she reports her conclusions?
- That's generally a good practice, but they should focus on what is the best estimate for sure. They may discuss the uncertainty around that estimate, yes.

And, would you agree, sir, that a risk assessor who uses

- average cumulative exposures to draw conclusions about individual exposures must report to be scientifically accurate and scientifically reasonable, the variations from the average? I think I answered that. If we're interested in, what is most likely a probable for the group, the average is the most
- Should, or should not the risk assessor report the 15 variations in the context of that question?

likely. I don't know how else to say that.

MR. BERNICK: Objection, variations in what? Under what circumstances? Are you talking about statistical tests of variations? I mean, this, again, is completely unfair and, 19 Your Honor, I submit --

20

21

THE COURT: Counsel, you simply have a disagreement 22 with this witness. This witness thinks that you need to apply the average, you don't think so, you're not going to get this witness to change his mind, he's not changing his testimony, 25 could we please move on to something else?

1

2

5

6

71

9

12

14

16

17

18

19I

20

21

22

23

24

Rodricks - Cross/Rasmussen

MR. RASMUSSEN: Yes. It's my last question, Your Honor and I'm not asking about the -- I'm not asking him to change his mind about the average, but I'm asking him a different question. Whether somebody who is relying on the average should report the variations from the average.

THE COURT: And he's already told you that the average is the most likely, he thinks that should be applied. 8 He's answered that question.

MR. RASMUSSEN: But he hasn't answered the question of whether it's scientifically reasonable and proper to report the average without reporting the variations.

MR. BERNICK: Who reporting? Who reporting? Are we 13 | talking about an industrial hygienist?

MR. RASMUSSEN: I'm talking about a risk assessor, as 15 the question said, Mr. Bernick.

THE COURT: All right. Would you please repeat the question?

Would you agree that a risk assessor, risk assessor, who uses average cumulative exposures to draw conclusions about individual exposures, should report the variations from the average in order to have a scientifically useful piece of work?

MR. BERNICK: Variations in what?

MR. RASMUSSEN: The exposures.

THE COURT: Average cumulative exposures. I believe 25 that's the same question that was asked before, but in the

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

CC-BLG000810

257

258 Rodricks - Cross/Rasmussen event that I took inaccurate notes, I'll permit this question to be asked again. Sir, if you could answer this question. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll try it a slightly different 3 You have a distribution, you want to assess the group way. risk for one thing, the group, the best measure of the exposure for that group, on the long term is the average. So, whether it is reported by the industrial hygienist or not, the risk assessor would use the average as the most likely exposure for the group. 9 10 If you had some data specific to an individual, which 11 said that individual for some reason is outside that 12∥ distribution or one end or the other, you could look at that 13 separately. But I think you'd have to have data for the

individual, you couldn't just assume that that individual is at 15 one point or another. Because you don't know where that individual is on the distribution and it could be -- that's why the average is the best, most probable estimate.

For the group, but not for an individual --

MR. BERNICK: Objection, objection.

THE WITNESS: And it is for the individual, too.

MR. BERNICK: Objection to the form of the question.

It is for the individual THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

23 as well.

18

19

20

21

22

24

Well, let me ask you one final question about the individual. You would agree that to assess risk to an

Rodricks - Cross/Rasmussen

259

individual, one needs to deal with a known potential variability in exposures, wouldn't you?

1

2

3

5 II

7 II

8

10

11

12

15 l

191

22

23

24

MR. BERNICK: Objection to the form of the question. I think we're in industrial hygiene again. Your Honor, this is going to be, right now, for the last half hour, we're establishing a precedent for this case that's going to blow nay notion of time limits --

THE COURT: No, we're not because we're five minutes over, so we're going to be leaving. So, is this your last question? You told me --

MR. RASMUSSEN: Yes, yes.

THE COURT: -- all right, you may answer this question. This is the last question that the gentleman has for 14 you.

THE WITNESS: You're asking about an individual where 16∥ we have some data and you're going to look at the data you have 17 over time, for the individual and there will be variable in the 18 day to day, you want to assess the risks for the individual, the average exposure. If cumulative long term exposure is what 20 matters, same answer, it's the average, even though there are ups and downs from day to day, the risk determinant is the average.

But that's only true if they're doing the same job.

MR. BERNICK: Objection. We've now got another 25 | question.

	Rodricks - Cross/Rasmussen 260
1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	THE WITNESS: Well, okay, you changed the going in
3	assumption.
4	Q But it is only true if you're doing the same job.
5	MR. BERNICK: This is discourteous to the Court.
6	This arguing
7	MR. RASMUSSEN: It's not a game, Mr. Bernick, we're
8	trying to get the truth. It's an important issue.
9	THE COURT: Pardon me, pardon me, gentlemen. You
10	will speak to me, not to each other.
11	MR. RASMUSSEN: Okay. Your Honor, I respectfully
12	suggest that this is a very important question, it goes to an
13	issue that has caused the elimination of thousands of claims
14	from this case.
15	THE COURT: This gentleman has answered every
16	question you've asked him, he has not varied in his approach,
17	he has been consistent that regardless of whether he's looking
18	at populations, at groups, or at individuals, he's going to
19	apply an average. You have made no points other than that, and
20	that is the end of this discussion. Do you have any questions,
21	other than this area, to ask this witness?
22	MR. RASMUSSEN: No, that concludes my examination.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bernick, do you have
24	anything on redirect?

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

MR. BERNICK: I have no questions of this witness.

25

261

THE COURT: All right, you're excused sir, thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: We are adjourned until whenever we meet again, at nine o'clock.

MR. BERNICK: Thank you very much for staying late and thanks to your court staff as well, Your Honor.

CERTIFICATION

WE, TAMMY DERISI, MARY POLITO, PATRICIA REPKO & ELAINE HOWELL, court approved transcribers, certify that the foregoing 11 is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound 12 recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and 13 to the best of our ability.

14

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

15 /s/ Tammy DeRisi

16∥ TAMMY DeRISI

17

/s/ Mary Polito

19 MARY POLITO

20

/s/ Patricia Repko

22 PATRICIA REPKO

23 /s/ Elaine Howell Date: January 20, 2008

24 ELAINE HOWELL

25 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.